

Bloch Wind Farm

Technical Appendix 7.1: Phase 1 and NVC Habitat Surveys 2022

Author Steve Percival, Ecology Consulting

Date October 2022

Ref Final

This document (the "Report") has been prepared by Renewable Energy Systems Ltd ("RES"). RES shall not be deemed to make any representation regarding the accuracy, completeness, methodology, reliability or current status of any material contained in this Report, nor does RES assume any liability with respect to any matter or information referred to or contained in the Report, except to the extent specified in (and subject to the terms and conditions of) any contract to which RES is party that relates to the Report (a "Contract"). Any person relying on the Report (a "Recipient") does so at their own risk, and neither the Recipient nor any person to whom the Recipient provides the Report or any matter or information derived from it shall have any right or claim against RES or any of its affiliated companies in respect thereof, but without prejudice to the terms of any Contract to which the Recipient is party.



Contents

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Study Area	1
1.3	Survey Methods	1
1.4	Survey Results	2
1.5	Ecological Conservation Evaluation.	6

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 This report presents the habitat survey work that has been carried out for the proposed Bloch Wind Farm (the 'proposed development'). The surveys were undertaken by Steve Percival, a highly experienced ecological surveyor with over 20 years ecological surveying for renewable energy projects (exceeding CIEEM competency requirements).

1.2 Study Area

1.2.1 The proposed development is located south of the B7068, approximately 5.5km¹ south-west of Langholm in Dumfries and Galloway. The survey area was chosen to include all areas within the potential zone of ecological influence of the proposed development and a buffer around that to be contextual information on the site's habitats. The survey area covered a total area of 17.8km² (see Figure 1 and 2). It comprised predominantly upland moorland habitat, currently used mainly for grazing sheep, with the Solwaybank Wind Farm adjacent to the west. It lies mainly within the 'Border Hills' NatureScot Natural Heritage Zone (NHZ20), though the southern edge of the survey area is within the 'West Southern Uplands and Inner Solway' (NHZ19).

1.3 Survey Methods

Phase 1 Habitat Survey Methods

1.3.1 An extended Phase 1 survey was carried out during 27-29 July 2022, including identification and mapping of the vegetation communities present within the study area, following the standard (JNCC 2016²) Phase 1 survey methodology. Any rare or scarce plant species found were also recorded, and habitat suitability was assessed for protected species (to inform the need for any further surveys). Aerial photography was used to help define habitat boundaries.

NVC Habitat Survey Methods

¹ This distance is given to the approximate centre point of the site boundary.

² JNCC 2016. Handbook for Phase 1 habitat survey. A technique for environmental audit.

- 1.3.2 Further, more detailed, h+abitat surveys (Phase 2) were undertaken to map the NVC across the site at the same time as the Phase 1 surveys. This included the acquisition of vegetation species composition and percentage cover data from a series of representative quadrats from each community. These data also informed the potential GWDTE within the site. These were mapped and have been assessed as part of the hydrological impact assessment (see Chapter 9: Hydrology, Hydrogeology, Geology and Soils of the EIA Report).
- 1.3.3 The vegetation communities within each of the survey fields were mapped to a minimum mappable polygon size of 150m². At least five 2x2m quadrat sample of vegetation composition and cover (recorded to the estimated percentage cover) were taken in each vegetation class of the main stand types (following Rodwell et al. 1992³). A total of 67 quadrats were sampled. The field quadrat samples were assigned to NVC class using the MAVIS analysis software (Smart et al. 2016⁴) and professional judgement.
- 1.3.4 Limitations and Assumptions
- 1.3.5 No significant information gaps have been identified. Inevitably with any ecological survey it cannot be guaranteed to detect all target species/individuals and surveys cannot be fully representative of all conditions (e.g. severely reduced visibility). However, in this case it was concluded that the baseline surveys provide a robust baseline data set.

1.4 Survey Results

Phase 1/NVC habitats

- 1.4.1 The Phase 1 habitats recorded in the survey area are summarised in Table 7.1.1, and their distributions are shown in EIA Report Figure 7.3. Table 7.6 also gives details of the NVC communities recorded and their distributions are shown in EIA Report Figure 7.4.
- 1.4.2 Summary quadrat data for each vegetation type are given in Appendix 7.1.1. This includes a species list, mean percentage cover and constancy value (1-5, after Rodwell 1992).

³ Rodwell, J. S. (1992) British Plant Communities: Volume 3 Grasslands and montane communities, Cambridge University Press.

⁴ Smart, S., Goodwin, A., Wallace, H. and Jones, M. (2016). MAVIS (Ver 1.03) User Manual. https://www.ceh.ac.uk/services/modular-analysis-vegetation-information-system-mavis

Table 7.1.1: Phase 1 and NVC habitats within the ecology survey area.

Phase 1 Habitat	Phase 1 Code	NVC Class	Total Area (ha.)	% Survey Area
Broad- leaved woodland	A1.1.1	W7	9.99	0.8%
Broad-leaved plantation	A1.1.2	n/a	4.10	0.3%
Coniferous plantation	A1.2.2	n/a	154.4	12.4%
Scrub - dense/continuous	A2.1	W7	0.67	0.1%
Recently-felled conifer	A4.2	n/a	9.89	0.8%
Neutral grassland -	B2.1	MG1	1.87	0.2%
unimproved		MG10a	0.46	0.0%
Neutral grass - semi-improved	B2.2	MG6a	5.08	0.4%
		MG10a	221.3	17.8%
Improved grassland	B4	MG6a	29.04	2.3%
Marsh/marshy grassland	B5	M23a	212.7	17.1%
		M25a	200.2	16.1%
		M27c	4.30	0.3%
Bracken	C1.1	U20a	21.3	1.7%
Wet heath	D2	M16a	43.17	3.5%
Blanket bog	E1.6.1	M18b	130.7	10.5%
Wet modified bog	E1.7	M25a	187.8	15.1%
Acid/neutral flush	E2.1	M6d	3.04	0.2%
Swamp	F1	S9	0.15	0.0%
Amenity grassland	J1.2	n/a	0.28	0.0%
Building	J3.6	n/a	1.19	0.1%

Marshy Grassland

- 1.4.3 Marshy grassland was the most common Phase 1 habitat, covering 33% of the survey area. There were three NVC communities within the marshy grassland habitat:
 - M23a Soft/sharp-flowered rush *Juncus effusus/acutiflorus* Marsh bedstraw *Galium palustre* rush pasture Juncus acutiflorus subcommunity). Its total cover was 213ha.
 - M25a Purple moor grass *Molinia caerulea* -dominated grassland on shallower peat. Its total cover was 200ha.

 M27c - Meadowsweet Filipendula ulmaria - dominated swamp. It was only found in small patches along the northern edge of the site, and just to the west of the proposed substation location. Its total cover was 4.3ha.

Wet Modified Bog

1.4.4 Wet modified bog was the most widespread mire habitat, covering 15% of the survey area (189ha). This habitat type was classified as M25a Purple moor grass *Molinia caerulea* - Tormentil *Potentilla e*recta mire. Purple moor-grass was extensive and dominant, probably as a result of grazing and burning, with little bog moss *Sphagnum* or dwarf shrub cover.

Blanket Bog

- 1.4.5 Blanket bog covered 11% of the survey area (131ha) and supported a more-species-rich community than the wet modified bog. This included Sphagnum bog mosses (though cover was generally low, probably as a result of drainage, grazing and burning), more abundant dwarf shrubs including heather, cross-leaved heath *Erica tetralix* and cranberry Vaccinium oxycoccos, and frequent occurrence of bog rosemary Andromeda polyfolia (a Dumfries and Galloway LBAP priority species).
- 1.4.6 The blanket bog habitat was all classed as M18b NVC community, *Erica tetralix Sphagnum papillosum* blanket mire.

Wet Heath

1.4.7 Heathland habitats were scarcer than the mires, covering 4% of the survey area (43ha). It was classed as NVC community M16a. It was found mainly in the southern part of the central block of the site, to the south of the Bloch Plantation (see Figure 7.3).

Acid/neutral Flush

1.4.8 Small areas of acid flush (3.4ha) were scattered across the survey area, covering only 0.2% of the survey area. This habitat type comprises a combination of rushes and/or sedges over a thick layer of *Sphagnum* mosses and *Polytrichum commune*. It was classified as NVC community M6d *Carex echinata* - *Sphagnum fallax/denticulatum* mire.

Neutral Grassland

1.4.9 Drier grassland areas across the survey area have mostly been affected by agricultural improvement and have been classed as semi-improved neutral grassland. They were extensive over the lower ground particularly in the north-eastern part of the survey area, covering 222ha in total (18% of the survey area). Most were classified as MG10a, with a smaller area of more improved MG6a. A few small patches of MG1 neutral unimproved grassland were found on the northern edge of the site.

Improved Grassland

1.4.10 These were more agriculturally improved fields, with extensive seeding with perennial ryegrass *Lolium perenne*, used for silage production and more intensive stock grazing. They were classified as MG6a. They covered a total area of 29ha.

Bracken

1.4.11 Patches of bracken-dominated vegetation were widespread in drier parts of the survey area. A total of 21ha (2%) of the survey aera was covered in continuous bracken habitat. It was classed as NVC community U20a *Pteridium aquilinum - Galium saxatile* community.

Swamp

1.4.12 One small area of swamp was located on the fringe of a small waterbody in central part of survey area on Bloch Flow, with the vegetation dominated by bottle sedge *Carex rostrata* (NVC community S9).

Woodlands

- 1.4.13 Semi-natural broad-leaved woodland was found mainly in the northern part of the survey area along the Bigholms Burn/Wauchope Water valley, with 10ha. (0.8% of the survey area) in total (plus a further 0.7ha. of scrub). Much of this has been identified as ancient woodland. It was classed as NVC community W7. There were also small areas of broadleaved plantation (4ha.).
- 1.4.14 Much of the survey area was fringed with conifer plantation of various ages (including recent clear-fell, particularly around the Solwaybank wind farm), mainly comprising Sitka spruce *Picea sitchensis*. There has been extensive recent planting of trees along much of the southern border of the site.

Groundwater Dependent Terrestrial Ecosystems

- 1.4.15 Three of the NVC communities recorded have been identified by SEPA as having high potential to be GWDTE:
 - Marshy grassland (M25);
 - Wet heath (M16); and
 - Acid flush (M6).
- 1.4.16 A further four habitats have moderate potential to be GWDTE:
 - Neutral (semi-improved grassland (MG10);
 - Marshy Grassland (M23);
 - Wet modified bog (M25); and
 - Marshy grassland (M27).
- 1.4.17 The distribution of these habitats across the site is shown in EIA Report Figures 7.3 and 7.4.

1.5 Ecological Conservation Evaluation

Conservation Evaluation of Habitats

1.5.1 The conservation value of the habitats was determined using the criteria specified in Table 7.2 of the EIA Report. The results are summarised in Table 7.1.2. All of the species with very high - low value have been taken forward in the ecological assessment (i.e. only those with nil value have been scoped out).

Table 7.1.2: Conservation Evaluation of the Habitats in the Bloch Wind Farm survey area

Habitat	NVC	EU Habs Dir priority	UK BAP priority habitat	Scottish BAP habitat	D&G LBAP habitat	Potenti al GWDTE	Conservatio n Value
Broad- leaved woodland	W7	✓	✓	✓	✓	High	High
Broad-leaved plantation	n/a						Nil
Coniferous plantation	n/a						Nil
Scrub	W7		√	√	√	High	Medium
Recently- felled conifer	n/a						Nil

Habitat	NVC	EU Habs Dir priority	UK BAP priority habitat	Scottish BAP habitat	D&G LBAP habitat	Potenti al GWDTE	Conservatio n Value
Neutral grassland - unimproved	MG1						Nil
	MG10a					Medium	Nil
Neutral grass - semi-improved	MG6a						Nil
	MG10a					Medium	Nil
Improved grassland	MG6a						Nil
Marsh/marshy grassland	M23a		✓	✓	✓	High	Medium
	M25a	√	√	√	√	Medium	High
	M27c		√	√	√	Medium	Medium
Bracken	U20a						Nil
Wet heath	M16a	√	√	√	√	High	High
Blanket bog	M18b	√	√	✓	✓		High
Wet modified bog	M25a	✓	✓	√	✓	Medium	High
Acid/neutral flush	M6d	✓	✓	✓	✓	High	High
Swamp	S9		✓	√	✓		Medium

- 1.5.2 Six habitats were classed as high sensitivity, though their listing as EU Habitats Directive Annex 1 habitats: blanket bog, wet heath, wet modified bog, marshy grassland (purple moor grass), acid/neutral flush and broadleaved woodland.
- 1.5.3 Four habitats were classed as medium conservation value: scrub, marshy grassland (rush pasture), marshy grassland (Molinia) and swamp. All were classed as medium value for their listing as UK Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP)/Scottish Biodiversity List priority habitats.

Marshy Grassland M23a (Rush Pasture)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Sneezewort	Achillea ptarmica	1	0.2%
Common bent	Agrostis capillaris	3	3.6%
Sweet vernal grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	4	13.6%
Common Mouse-ear	Cerastium fontanum	1	0.1%
Meadow Thistle	Cirsium dissectum	1	0.5%
Marsh thistle	Cirsium palustre	3	0.8%
Crested Dog's-tail	Cynosurus cristatus	2	0.6%
Tufted hair-grass	Deschampsia cespitosa	4	6.5%
Wavy hair-grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	2	0.5%
Foxglove	Digitalis purpurea	1	0.1%
Marsh Willowherb	Epilobium palustre	1	0.1%
Common eyebright	Euphrasia nemorosa	1	0.1%
Sheep's-fescue	Festuca ovina agg.	1	0.5%
Marsh bedstraw	Galium palustre	1	0.2%
Heath bedstraw	Galium saxatile	1	0.5%
Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	5	15.9%
Sharp-flowered Rush	Juncus acutiflorus	4	14.5%
Soft-rush	Juncus effusus	5	34.5%
Autumn Hawkbit	Leontodon autumnalis	1	0.1%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	3	3.2%
Mat-grass	Nardus stricta	2	0.6%
Star moss	Polytrichum commune	2	1.1%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	4	3.5%
Selfheal	Prunella vulgaris	1	0.1%
Meadow Buttercup	Ranunculus acris	2	0.5%
Creeping buttercup	Ranunculus repens	3	2.4%
Common sorrel	Rumex acetosa	2	0.3%
Broad-leaved Dock	Rumex obtusifolius	1	0.2%
Lesser Stitchwort	Stellaria graminea	2	0.3%
White clover	Trifolium repens	2	0.9%
Nettle	Urtica dioica	1	0.3%

Marshy Grassland M25a (Purple Moor Grass, on shallower peat <0.5m)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Sweet vernal grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	3	3.3%
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	3	4.3%
Common sedge	Carex nigra	1	0.1%
Heath spotted-orchid	Dactylorhiza maculata	1	0.1%
Tufted hair-grass	Deschampsia cespitosa	1	0.4%
Wavy hair-grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	5	7.5%
Broad Buckler-fern	Dryopteris dilatata	2	0.2%
Crowberry	Empetrum nigrum	1	0.2%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	3	3.8%
Heath bedstraw	Galium saxatile	2	0.3%
Sharp-flowered rush	Juncus acutiflorus	1	0.2%
Soft-rush	Juncus effusus	2	1.4%
Heath rush	Juncus squarrosus	1	0.4%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	5	67.1%
Common milkwort	Polygala vulgaris	1	0.1%
Star moss	Polytrichum commune	2	1.0%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	4	3.7%
Bog moss	Sphagnum compactum	2	0.7%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	2	1.3%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	4	4.7%
Cranberry	Vaccinium oxycoccos	2	0.3%

Marshy Grassland (M27c) Meadowsweet Swamp

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Wild angelica	Angelica sylvestris	4	2.3%
Sweet vernal grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	2	1.7%
False oat-grass	Arrhenatherum elatius	3	8.3%
Harebell	Campanula rotundifolia	2	0.3%
Common Knapweed	Centaurea nigra	2	1.0%
Rosebay Willowherb	Chamerion angustifolium	2	1.0%
Creeping Thistle	Cirsium arvense	2	1.0%
Marsh thistle	Cirsium palustre	2	1.0%
Tufted hair-grass	Deschampsia cespitosa	5	16.7%
Marsh Willowherb	Epilobium palustre	1	0.3%

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Meadowsweet	Filipendula ulmaria	5	56.7%
Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	3	5.0%
Soft-rush	Juncus effusus	2	3.3%
Bird's-foot-trefoil	Lotus corniculatus	3	1.3%
Ragged-Robin	Lychnis flos-cuculi	1	0.3%
Reed Canary-grass	Phalaris arundinacea	2	3.3%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	1	0.3%
Meadow Buttercup	Ranunculus acris	1	0.3%
Marsh Woundwort	Stachys palustris	1	0.3%
Lesser Stitchwort	Stellaria graminea	1	0.3%

Wet Modified Bog (M25a, on deeper peat >0.5m)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Bog-rosemary	Andromeda polifolia	1	0.3%
Sweet vernal grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	2	1.3%
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	3	1.8%
Wavy hair-grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	4	10.0%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	4	5.0%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	3	5.0%
Heath bedstraw	Galium saxatile	1	0.3%
Soft-rush	Juncus effusus	2	1.3%
Purple moor-grass	Molinia caerulea	5	66.3%
Star moss	Polytrichum juniperinum	3	2.0%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	3	2.0%
Bog moss	Sphagnum capillifolium	3	2.5%
Bog moss	Sphagnum palustre	2	1.3%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	4	10.0%
Cranberry	Vaccinium oxycoccos	4	2.0%

Blanket Bog (M18b)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Bog-rosemary	Andromeda polifolia	4	0.8%
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	21.0%
Wavy hair-grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	1	0.6%
Round-leaved sundew	Drosera rotundifolia	1	0.6%
Crowberry	Empetrum nigrum	3	2.4%

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	5	23.0%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	5	24.0%
Purple moor-grass	Molinia caerulea	2	13.0%
Bog asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	4	2.2%
Sitka spruce	Picea sitchensis	1	0.2%
Star moss	Polytrichum commune	1	0.6%
Star moss	Polytrichum juniperinum	1	1.0%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	2	1.4%
Bog moss	Sphagnum capillifolium	3	2.5%
Bog moss	Sphagnum papillosum	5	8.0%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	2	5.0%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	5	6.2%
Cranberry	Vaccinium oxycoccos	3	1.6%

Wet Heath (M16a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	35.7%
Wavy hair grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	4	10.0%
Round-leaved sundew	Drosera rotundifolia	1	0.1%
Crowberry	Empetrum nigrum	3	1.0%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	5	8.3%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	1	1.4%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	5	27.9%
Bog asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	3	1.7%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	4	1.1%
Bog moss	Sphagnum capillifolium	1	0.7%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	1	2.1%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	5	8.4%
Cranberry	Vaccinium oxycoccos	2	0.6%

Acid/Neutral Flush (M6d)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Sweet vernal grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	3	3.8%
Hard-fern	Blechnum spicant	1	0.3%
Marsh thistle	Cirsium palustre	3	0.5%

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heath Spotted-orchid	Dactylorhiza maculata	1	0.5%
Tufted hair-grass	Deschampsia cespitosa	2	1.3%
Broad Buckler-fern	Dryopteris dilatata	4	1.8%
Marsh willowherb	Epilobium palustre	4	0.8%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	2	0.5%
Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	4	12.5%
Marsh Pennywort	Hydrocotyle vulgaris	4	1.5%
Sharp-flowered rush	Juncus acutiflorus	4	38.8%
Soft rush	Juncus effusus	4	13.8%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	3	2.5%
Bog asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	2	1.3%
Star moss	Polytrichum commune	5	15.0%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	4	2.0%
Common sorrel	Rumex acetosa	3	0.5%
Bog moss	Sphagnum palustre	5	6.3%
Bog moss	Sphagnum papillosum	2	1.3%
Devil's-bit Scabious	Succisa pratensis	1	0.5%

Neutral Grassland (MG10a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Yarrow	Achillea millefolium	1	0.2%
Common Bent	Agrostis capillaris	5	9.4%
Sweet vernal grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	3	5.6%
Daisy	Bellis perennis	2	0.2%
Common mouse ear	Cerastium fontanum	4	2.1%
Meadow Thistle	Cirsium dissectum	3	2.4%
Marsh thistle	Cirsium palustre	3	0.6%
Spear Thistle	Cirsium vulgare	3	0.6%
Crested Dog's-tail	Cynosurus cristatus	3	6.1%
Tufted hair-grass	Deschampsia cespitosa	2	0.8%
Common eyebright	Euphrasia nemorosa	1	0.3%
Red Fescue	Festuca rubra agg.	1	0.6%
Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	4	16.1%
Soft-rush	Juncus effusus	3	7.2%
Autumn Hawkbit	Leontodon autumnalis	3	1.6%
Perennial rye-grass	Lolium perenne	4	17.8%

Volume 3: Technical Appendices

Technical Appendix 7.1

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Pineappleweed	Matricaria discoidea	1	0.1%
Ribwort plantain	Plantago lanceolata	1	0.2%
Greater Plantain	Plantago major	2	0.7%
Rough Meadow-grass	Poa trivialis	3	1.4%
Creeping buttercup	Ranunculus repens	5	3.2%
Yellow-rattle	Rhinanthus minor	1	0.6%
Broad-leaved Dock	Rumex obtusifolius	1	1.7%
Dandelion	Taraxacum agg.	1	0.3%
Red Clover	Trifolium pratense	1	0.1%
White clover	Trifolium repens	5	22.8%
Nettle	Urtica dioica	1	0.2%

Neutral Grassland (semi-improved with rushes MG10a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Common bent	Agrostis capillaris	4	7.5%
Sweet vernal grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	3	2.5%
Common Mouse-ear	Cerastium fontanum	2	1.0%
Marsh thistle	Cirsium palustre	2	1.5%
Spear Thistle	Cirsium vulgare	4	5.0%
Crested Dog's-tail	Cynosurus cristatus	3	5.0%
Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	5	17.5%
Soft rush	Juncus effusus	5	30.0%
Perennial rye-grass	Lolium perenne	3	2.5%
Mat-grass	Nardus stricta	3	2.5%
Rough Meadow-grass	Poa trivialis	2	1.5%
White clover	Trifolium repens	5	20.0%
Nettle	Urtica dioica	3	2.5%

Bracken (U20a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Common bent	Agrostis capillaris	2	2.0%
Sweet vernal grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	3	2.6%
Harebell	Campanula rotundifolia	1	0.2%
Common Mouse-ear	Cerastium fontanum	2	0.4%
Meadow Thistle	Cirsium dissectum	1	1.0%
Marsh thistle	Cirsium palustre	2	0.6%

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	5	4.6%
Sharp-flowered Rush	Juncus acutiflorus	2	1.6%
Soft-rush	Juncus effusus	3	4.0%
Perennial rye-grass	Lolium perenne	1	0.4%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	3	2.6%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	3	3.0%
Bracken	Pteridium aquilinum	5	86.0%
Creeping buttercup	Ranunculus repens	2	0.4%
White clover	Trifolium repens	2	1.4%
Nettle	Urtica dioica	1	0.2%